Reducing the economic burden of the replacement heifer enterprise through reproductive management

Julio Giordano, DVM, MS, PhD Dairy Cattle Biology and Management Laboratory Department of Animal Science

Vermont Veterinary Medical Association, Vermont February 4th, 2023





Several motivations to reduce days to pregnancy and thus AFC

Reducing days to pregnancy...

…has substantial positive effects on heifer enterprise profitability

…does not compromise productivity and reproductive performance during lactation provided heifers are well fed and managed

…is possible for most herds – usually a management decision not limited by heifer biology



Goal is to get <u>pregnant</u> as soon as possible <u>after heifer is eligible</u> for pregnancy!!!

Cornelicals College of Agriculture and Life Sciences



Does reducing AFC due to a reduction in time to pregnancy affect the heifer enterprise economics?







Role of reproduction in heifer rearing cost dynamics



CornellCALS College of Agriculture and Life Sciences

Karzsez and Hill, 2020 Pro-Dairy

Does time to pregnancy affect the heifer enterprise economics?

Hypothesis:

Reduced AFC due to improved reproductive performance would improve the economics of Holstein replacements

Nulliparous Holstein heifers from 3 commercial farms in NY (n = 1,144)

□ Eligible for AI at 368 ± 10 days (12 mo) of age

After calving, heifers were retrospectively assigned to 1 of 3 groups based on AFC tertiles within farm:
 Low (20.2 to 21.8 mO; n = 391)
 Medium (21.4 to 22.8 mO; n = 376)
 High (22.1 to 28.7 mO; n = 377)





Cash flow estimations conducted per 15 mo per slot



CornellCALS College of Agriculture and Life Sciences



Differences in AFC among groups were explained by differences in age and P/AI at first service

		AFC group					
	Low (n = 391)	Medium (n = 376)	High (n = 377)	P-value			
Age 1 st AI (d)	371 ± 0.5 ^a	376 ± 0.5 ^a	378 ± 0.5 ^b	<0.01			
P/AI 1 st AI	95.9% ^a	33.2% ^b	0.3% ^c	<0.01			



Cornelicals College of Agriculture and Life Sciences

Reduced AFC led to lower reproductive cost



	AFC Groups							
Item (per slot)	Low (n = 391)	Medium (n = 376)	High (n = 377)	<i>P</i> -value				
Repro cost, \$	39.9 ± 0.9 ^a	57.0 ± 0.9 ^b	94.6 ± 0.9°	< 0.01				
TOTAL RP cost, \$	807 ± 22 ^a	900 ± 22 ^b	1,099 ± 22 ^c	< 0.01				
TOTAL FLP profit, \$	454 ± 45 ^a	408 ± 45 ^a	304 ± 45 ^b	< 0.01				
Cash Flow 15-mo, \$	-354 ± 63 ^a	-492 ± 63 ^b	-795 ± 63 °	< 0.01				



Reduced AFC reduced rearing cost after end of the VWP

	AFC Groups							
Item (per slot)	Low (n = 391)	Medium (n = 376)	High (n = 377)	P-value				
Repro cost, \$	39.9 ± 0.9 ^a	57.0 ± 0.9 ^b	94.6 ± 0.9°	< 0.01				
TOTAL RP cost, \$	807 ± 22 ª	900 ± 22 ^b	1,099 ± 22 ^c	< 0.01				
TOTAL FLP profit, \$	454 ± 45 ^a	408 ± 45 ^a	304 ± 45 ^b	< 0.01				
Cash Flow 15-mo, \$	-354 ± 63 ª	-492 ± 63 ^b	-795 ± 63 °	< 0.01				





Reduced AFC increased first lactation profit per slot per unit of time

	AFC Groups							
Item (per slot)	Low (n = 391)	Medium (n = 376)	High (n = 377)	<i>P</i> -value				
Repro cost, \$	39.9 ± 0.9 ^a	57.0 ± 0.9 ^b	94.6 ± 0.9 ^c	< 0.01				
TOTAL RP cost, \$	807 ± 22 ^a	900 ± 22 ^b	1,099 ± 22 ^c	< 0.01				
TOTAL FLP profit, \$	454 ± 45 ª	408 ± 45 ^a	304 ± 45 ^b	< 0.01				
Cash Flow 15-mo, \$	-354 ± 63 ª	-492 ± 63 ^b	-795 ± 63 °	< 0.01				



Reduced AFC increased first lactation profit per slot per unit of time

	AFC Groups							
Item (per slot)	Low (n = 391)	Medium (n = 376)	High (n = 377)	<i>P</i> -value				
Repro cost, \$	39.9 ± 0.9 ^a	57.0 ± 0.9 ^b	94.6 ± 0.9 ^c	< 0.01				
TOTAL RP cost, \$	807 ± 22 ^a	900 ± 22 ^b	1,099 ± 22 °	< 0.01				
TOTAL FLP profit, \$	454 ± 45ª	408 ± 45 ^a	304 ± 45 ^b	< 0.01				
Cash Flow 15-mo, \$	-354 ± 63 ^a	-492 ± 63 ^b	-795 ± 63 °	< 0.01				



Reduced AFC led to greater cash flow per slot per unit of time

		AFC Groups						
Item (per slot)	Low (n = 391)	Medium (n = 376)	High (n = 377)	<i>P</i> -value				
Repro cost, \$	39.9 ± 0.9 ^a	57.0 ± 0.9 ^b	94.6 ± 0.9 ^c	< 0.01				
TOTAL RP cost, \$	807 ± 22 ^a	900 ± 22 ^b	1,099 ± 22 ^c	< 0.01				
TOTAL FLP profit. \$	- 454 ± 45 ª	408 ± 45 ^a	304 ± 45 ^b	< 0.01				
Cash Flow 15-mo, \$	-354 ± 63ª	-492 ± 63 ^b	-795 ± 63°	< 0.01				
		\$138 -\$441						



Does time to pregnancy affect the heifer enterprise economics?

- Differences in time to pregnancy driven by differences in reproductive performance (not on growth) led to substantial benefits:
 - Reduced reproductive cost
 - Reduced rearing cost after the beginning of the insemination period
 - Earlier first lactation revenue ---- more profits per unit of time
- A major driver of the reduction in time to pregnancy is days to and fertility after first service



Does earlier AFC negatively impact reproductive performance during first lactation?







Evaluated effect of AFC on first lactation reproductive outcomes

Retrospective Cohort Study

- Primiparous Holstein lactating dairy cows from 5 farms in NY (n = 2,235)
- Cows grouped by AFC tertiles within farm (n; mean; range):
 - Low 21.5 (18.5-22.4)
 - Medium 22.1 (21.3-23.3)
 - High 23.5 (21.8-29.7)



 Cows managed with program designed to either inseminate as many cows after detection of estrus or synchronization of ovulation as possible

Cornelicals College of Agriculture and Life Sciences

Sitko et al.,2020. J. Dairy Sci. Volume 103. E-Supplement 1: 243



Summary

We did not observe significant negative consequences for heifers in the Low and Medium AFC categories for:

- Al at detected estrus
- Pregnancy per AI to first service for AI at detected estrus or TAI services
- Percent pregnant by 200 DIM
- Percent sold and died by 200 DIM

In fact, we observed some positive effects for Low and Medium AFC for:

- Al at detected estrus
- Pregnant by 200 DIM

CornellCALS College of Agriculture and Life Sciences

Sitko et al.,2020. J. Dairy Sci. Volume 103. E-Supplement 1: 243

Most common issue with heifer repro is poor service rate

		Heifers				Cows	
Farm	VWP (d)	21 d- SR	CR	21 d-PR	21 d-SR	CR	21 d-PR
		(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
Α	395	57	53	31	68	35	23
В	395	48	66	34	58	40	23
С	385	46	50	25	50	37	18
D	320	26	53	14	50	30	14
E	355	75	49	40	70	39	28
F	400	31	60	19	64	33	20
G	320	13	38	5	59	25	15
Н	365	55	42	24	59	38	23
	385	28	42	15	65	31	20
J	380	53	49	24	66	31	21
Κ	390	58	48	30	61	32	19
L	325	36	45	18	68	33	23
Avg.	368	44	50	23	62	34	21

18 percentage points



College of Agriculture and Life Sciences

Expression of estrus is not (or should not be) a limitation for dairy heifers

- - Heifers DO NOT present same limitations to estrus expression as cows
 - □ No milk production
 - Less metabolically challenged
 - Fewer health issues
 - Key factors for success
 - Good nutrition
 - Good health
 - Reasonable environmental conditions

Cornelicals College of Agriculture and Life Sciences



Programs based predominantly on detection of estrus for AI may not be ideal but work

 Efficient estrous detection possible without hormonal intervention Ovulation Horitor H



 Promotion of estrus with
 PGF or P4-based protocols is effective for heifers

> College of Agriculture and Life Sciences

Cornell**CALS**



* Only non-bred heifers receivePGF_{2 α}

PGF = e.g., Lutalyse, Estrumate, Synchsure, EstroPlan

Programs based predominantly on detection of estrus (AIE) after PGF treatments work well



 Recent work (Masello et al., 2019 JDS 102(2):1671-1681) showed program can be effective and lead to reasonable preg. rate – good estrus detection is key!!!
 May not maximize profitability as compared to more aggressive use of TAI

Cornelicals College of Agriculture and Life Sciences

Traditional methods or automated technologies for detection of estrus work well for heifers

Cornel CALS College of Agricult and Life Sciences

Automated estrus detection (AED) systems are effective for heifers

J. Dairy Sci. 102:6624–6638 https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2018-15205 © American Dairy Science Association®, 2019.

Genomic merit for reproductive traits. I: Estrous characteristics and fertility in Holstein heifers

Anderson Veronese,¹ Odinei Marques,¹ Rafael Moreira,¹ Anna L. Belli,¹ Rafael S. Bisinotto,¹ Todd R. Bilby,² Francisco Peñagaricano,³ and Ricardo C. Chebel^{1,3}* ¹Department of Large Animal Clinical Sciences, University of Florida, Gainesville 32610 ³Merck Animal Health, Madison, NJ 07940 ³Department of Animal Sciences, University of Florida, Gainesville 32610

 There were no significant differences for detection of estrus and P/AI for an <u>AED system</u> versus <u>tail-head mount detectors</u>

	AED Mount detector		P-value
	(n = 260)	(n = 236)	
First service rate	No d	0.11	
P/IA for AI services	50.6%	50.6%	0.30
Pregnancy rate ET	26.7%	31.1%	0.14

No difference for 2+ IA P/AI. Tendency (P = 0.06) to reduce days to second AI for AED system .

Cornell**CALS** College of Agriculture and Life Sciences

Automated estrus detection systems are effective for heifers

 AED system (eSense, Allflex) detected the vast majority (>90%) of estrus events and generated a reasonable number of false positives (<10%) when using mount detectors as control

Reference method	Sensitivity	False positives	PPV ¹
Mount patches (Estrotec) (n = 468)	91.0%	8.0%	83.5%
¹ PPV = positive predictive value			

¹ Lisentock Systems Section, Alberta Agriculture and Forestry, Edmonton, All, 16H 576, Canada

AED systems are a tool available for dairies that struggle with traditional methods for detection of estrus or benefit by use of this technology

- AED systems effective but not superior to traditional methods
 of detection of estrus (at least under research conditions)
- Useful tool for implementation of reproductive management programs that depends primarily on AI at detected estrus

Effective TAI programs are available for heifers

To synch or not to synch (?)

Entail complex treatment schemes of difficult implementation for some farms

May be more expensive to implement than predominant AIE programs

May be more profitable through a reduction of time to pregnancy

5 d-Cosynch + Progesterone optimal for TAI in Heifers

Rabaglino et al., 2010, Lima et al., 2013; Santos et al., 2011

5 d-Cosynch + Progesterone optimal for TAI in Heifers

Rabaglino et al., 2010, Lima et al., 2013; Santos et al., 2011

ALL-TAI or TAI after 2 PGF treatments works well and

more profitable than predominant estrus breeding

Recent work (Masello et al., 2019 JDS 102(2):1671-1681) showed programs can be more effective for improving preg. rate compared with almost ALL-estrus AI

Expected to maximize profitability as compared to ALL-AIE

CornellCALS College of Agriculture and Life Sciences

Masello et al., 2018 JDS 102:1671-1681

Reproductive performance of replacement dairy heifers submitted to first service with programs that favor insemination at detected estrus, timed artificial insemination, or a combination of both

M. Masello,¹ M. M. Perez,¹ G. E. Granados,¹ M. L. Stangaferro,¹ B. Ceglowski,² M. J. Thomas,² and J. O. Giordano¹* ¹Department of Animal Science, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853 ²Dairy Health and Management Services, Lowville, NY 13367

J. Dairy Sci. 104 https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2020-18588 © 2021 American Dairy Science Association[®]. Published by Elsevier Inc. and Fass Inc. All rights reserved.

Effect of reproductive management programs for first service on replacement dairy heifer economics

M. Masello,¹ M. M. Perez,¹ G. E. Granados,¹ M. L. Stangaferro,¹ B. Ceglowski,² M. J. Thomas,² and J. O. Giordano¹* ¹Department of Animal Science, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853 ²Dairy Health and Management Services, Lowville, NY 13367

- Holstein heifers 3 commercial farms in NY
 - Farms A & B → relatively equal repro management (MORE effort on estrus detection)
 - Farm C → different approach to repro management (<u>LESS</u> effort on estrus detection)
- Enrolled at 368 ± 10 days (12 mo) of age
- Semen use
 - 1st service 100% sexed semen
 - 2+ Al service 100% conventional semen

Compared predominant AIE, TAI, or combined programs

1st AI Service – Sexed sorted semen

CornellCALS College of Agriculture and Life Sciences

Masello et al., 2018 JDS 102:1671-1681

Time to Pregnancy for up to 100 d affected by Treatment and Farm

Cornel CALS College of Agriculture and Life Sciences

Masello et al., 2018 JDS 102:1671-1681

Economics – "Up to 15 mo of VWP"

FARMS A & B

Treatment Groups								
Variable	PGF+All	E PG	F + TA	AI 🛛	100% TAI		P-value	
	(n = 313) (n	(n = 330)		(n	= 306)		
Repro cost (\$/heifer slot)	69.9 ± 2.0	a 72	72.3 ± 2.0a		85.1 ± 2.3b		<0.001	
Rearing cost (\$/heifer slot)	931 ± 9	9	911 ± 10		900 ± 10		0.08	
First lact profit (\$/heifer slot)	393 ± 20	4	424 ± 18		405 ± 19		0.48	
Total cash flow (\$/ heifer slot)	(-538) ± 2	4 (-4	(-486) ± 21		(-495) ± 21		0.22	
+\$52 X +\$43 X Presynch+TAI 100%TAI					3 X %TAI			
Presynch + TAI strategy most (numerically) profitable when accounting for rearing cost and opportunity cost of lactation in herds with GOOD detection of estrus!!!								

Economics – "Up to 15 mo of VWP"

FARM C

	Treatment Groups							
Variable	PGF+AIE (n = 83)		PGF + TAI (n = 94)		100% TAI		P-value	
					(n = 106)			
Repro cost (\$/heifer slot)	87.8 ± 5.1		100	100 ± 5 7		<u>89 7 +</u> 4.4		0.19
Rearing cost (\$/heifer slot)	1046 ± 33 a		968	± 21	+\$132		14 b	<0.01
First lact profit (\$/heifer slot)	291 ± 29		199	9 ± 4	Presyr	nch+TAI	± 29	0.09
Total cash flow (\$/ heifer slot)	(-755) ± 48 ab		(-769) ± 50 a		0 a	(-637)	± 33 b	0.05
	-\$1		14 X		+\$118			
	Presyr		nch+TAI		100%TAI			

In the second strategy most profitable when accounting for rearing cost and opportunity cost of lactation in herd with LOW detection of estrus!!!

Implement re-breeding program that takes advantage of good estrous expression by heifers

COMPENSALS Conserve of Agriculture

TAI may help with re-breeding if detection of estrus is not optimal

CornellCALS :

College of Agriculture and Life Sciences

Use any synchronization protocol that allows TAI and leads to good fertility
 Will help reduce days to pregnancy by earlier re-breeding
 The worse the estrous detection the greater the benefit of this type of strategy

CornellCALS College of Agriculture and Life Sciences

Use any PGF to re-breed more heifers at detected estrus after pregnancy testing. Will need TAI in very few heifers.

Use synchronization protocol that allows TAI and leads to good fertility

Will help reduce days to pregnancy by earlier re-breeding

CornellCALS College of Agriculture and Life Sciences

Use any PGF to re-breed more heifers at detected estrus after pregnancy testing. Will need TAI in very few heifers.

Use synchronization protocol that allows TAI and leads to good fertility

Will help reduce days to pregnancy by earlier re-breeding

CornellCALS College of Agriculture and Life Sciences

Use any PGF to re-breed more heifers at detected estrus after pregnancy testing. Will need TAI in very few heifers.

Use synchronization protocol that allows TAI and leads to good fertility

Will help reduce days to pregnancy by earlier re-breeding

COME CALS College of Agriculture and Life Sciences

Example proven first breeding reproductive programs for heifers

Cornell**CALS** College of Agriculture and Life Sciences

Masello et al., 2018 JDS 102:1671-1681

Example proven second+ breeding programs for heifers

CornellCALS College of Agriculture and Life Sciences

Summary

 Gimple programs for promoting AI in estrus or more complex TAI programs available and effective

Optimal reproductive program for heifers highly influenced by estrous detection efficiency

Combined (AIE + TAI) or all TAI for 1st AI may be more profitable than predominant estrus detection programs even for farms with reasonable estrous detection efficiency

Consider an aggressive re-breeding program including pregnancy testing and TAI

Acknowledgement

Cornell University College of Agriculture and Life Sciences

Dairy Cattle Biology & Management Laboratory

NY farm viability

United States Department of Agriculture National Institute of Food and Agriculture

This work was supported by the **USDA National Institute of Food and Agriculture**, Animal Health Program **Project # 2017-67015-26772**, **Hatch project NYC-2020-21-255**, and **Multistate project 1021189**. Any opinions, findings, conclusions, or recommendations expressed in this publication are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the view of the National Institute of Food and Agriculture (NIFA) or the United States Department of Agriculture(USDA)".

Ucida Cornell Institute for Digital Agriculture

Commercial dairy farms

Thank You! Questions?

